

1 2 3 4 5 6	PHILLIP A. TALBERT United States Attorney ADRIAN T. KINSELLA Assistant United States Attorney 501 I Street, Suite 10-100 Sacramento, CA 95814 Telephone: (916) 554-2700 Facsimile: (916) 554-2900 Attorneys for Plaintiff United States of America		
7	omed states of finerica		
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	CASE NO. 2:22-CR-00196-WBS	
12	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION REGARDING EXCLUDABLE	
13	v.	TIME PERIODS UNDER SPEEDY TRIAL ACT; FINDINGS AND ORDER	
14	ERIC TERRELL CHRISTIAN, DATE: March 27, 2023 TIME: 9:00 a.m.		
15	Defendant.	COURT: Hon. William B. Shubb	
16			
17	STIPULATION		
18	Plaintiff United States of America, by and through its counsel of record, and defendant, by and		
19	through defendant's counsel of record, hereby stipulate as follows:		
20	1. By previous order, this matter was set for status on March 27, 2023.		
21	2. By this stipulation, defendant now moves to continue the status conference until June 5,		
22	2023 at 9:00 a.m., and to exclude time between March 27, 2023, and June 5, 2023, under Local Code		
23	T4.		
24	3. The parties agree and stipulate, ar	nd request that the Court find the following:	
25	a) The government has repre	sented that the discovery associated with this case	
26	includes over 160 gigabytes of discovery, including police reports, body camera footage,		
27	criminal history documents, and two forensic extractions of a cellular telephone. All of this		
$_{28}$	discovery has been either produced directly to counsel and/or made available for inspection and		

copying. The bulk of this discovery consists of the forensics extractions.

- b) Counsel for defendant desires additional time to review this discovery, consult with her client, conduct investigation and research related to the charge, to discuss potential resolutions with her clients, and to otherwise prepare for trial.
- c) Counsel for defendant believes that failure to grant the above-requested continuance would deny her the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
 - d) The government does not object to the continuance.
- e) Based on the above-stated findings, the ends of justice served by continuing the case as requested outweigh the interest of the public and the defendant in a trial within the original date prescribed by the Speedy Trial Act.
- f) For the purpose of computing time under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161, et seq., within which trial must commence, the time period of March 27, 2023 to June 5, 2023, inclusive, is deemed excludable pursuant to 18 U.S.C.§ 3161(h)(7)(A), B(iv) [Local Code T4] because it results from a continuance granted by the Court at defendant's request on the basis of the Court's finding that the ends of justice served by taking such action outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial.

1		
2	4. Nothing in this stipulation and order shall preclude a finding that other provisions of the	
3	Speedy Trial Act dictate that additional time periods are excludable from the period within which a trial	
4	must commence.	
5	IT IS SO STIPULATED.	
6		
7	Dated: March 20, 2023 PHILLIP A. TALBERT	
8	United States Attorney	
9	/s/ ADRIAN T. KINSELLA	
10	ADRIAN T. KINSELLA Assistant United States Attorney	
11		
12	Dated: March 20, 2023 /s/ MEGHAN MCLOUGHLIN	
13	MEGHAN MCLOUGHLIN Assistant Federal Defender	
14	Counsel for Defendant ERIC TERRELL CHRISTIAN	
15		
16		
17	ORDER	
18	IT IS SO FOUND AND ORDERED.	
19		
20	Dated: March 20, 2023	
21	WILLIAM B. SHUBB UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		